UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/537,725	11/08/2005	Rudolf Bonsch	MDP-103	5175
	7590 12/29/200 ROBERTS, LLP	EXAMINER		
ATTORNEYS AT LAW			PO, MING CHEUNG	
P.O. BOX 484 PRINCETON, NJ 08542-0484			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1797	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/29/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/537,725	BONSCH ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	MING CHEUNG PO	1797		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 (</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed as a composition and a composition and a composition to the separatement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the specific action as a composition of the specific action. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination.	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary	y (PTO-413)		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	oate		

Application/Control Number: 10/537,725 Page 2

Art Unit: 1797

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/6/2009 has been entered.

Office Action Summary

- 2. This is the response to request for continued examination for application 10/537725 filed on 10/06/2009.
- 3. Claims 1-3 are pending and have been fully considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over ERGUN et al. (USPGPUB 2002/).

ERGUN et al. teaches a method for producing fatty acid methyl ester in paragraphs 66-77. **Saturated and unsaturated fats of vegetable and/or animal origins** is mixed with alkaline solution and an alcohol, particular **methanol** in a mixing

vessel to create **fatty acid methyl esters in an emulsion**. ERGUN et al. teaches that the reaction temperature may be from **40-70°C**. ERGUN et al. further teaches in paragraph 24 that the fatty acid methyl ester is cleaned after the separation of the emulsion phases.

ERGUN et al. does not seem to explicitly state subjecting the crude methyl ester later to intensively inline mixing at a temperature between 25 and 60°C with a strong acid.

However, ERGUN et al. does teach in paragraph 5 known methods include subjecting the transesterified liquid to neutralization with phosphoric acid, citric acid or other acids, whereby soap and the potassium salts of the acids are sedimented.

Although ERGUN et al. teaches in paragraph 15 that the reference method is not suited for the sedimentation method, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to mix the fatty acid methyl ester obtained from the separation of the emulsion phases with potassium salts under the same mixing conditions as the transesterification process. ERGUN et al. teaches in paragraphs 23-27 that sedimentation phases are no longer performed mechanically but with methods using filtration. Instead of waiting for the potassium salts to settle, they would be removed by filtration.

The motivation to do so can be found in lines 55-67 of column 2. ERGUN et al. teaches that by accelerating the reaction to form an emulsion, the boundary surfaces are increased and the chemical balance state can be reached much sooner.

ERGUN et al. also does not seem to explicitly teach subjecting the ester layer to a thorough water wash and a subsequent drying.

However, it is common knowledge what a water wash and drying may accomplish in purifying a fatty acid methyl ester. ERGUN et al. states in paragraph 5 that water may be used to absorb the soap, potassium solution and then the water phase is removed.

It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to mix the fatty acid methyl ester obtained after filtration of the potassium salts with water under the same mixing conditions as the transesterification process.

Therefore, the invention as a whole would have been *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. PORTNOFF et al. (USPGPUB 2005/0274065 A1) teaches a process for esterification and transesterification that uses a homogeneous catalyst and high shear conditions and emulsifying reagents.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MING CHEUNG PO whose telephone number is (571)270-5552. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 4:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/537,725 Page 5

Art Unit: 1797

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on (571)272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Ming Cheung Po/ Patent Examiner

/Ellen M McAvoy/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797